

Information Theory and Networks

Lecture 6: Entropy and Mutual Information

Matthew Roughan

`<matthew.roughan@adelaide.edu.au>`

[http://www.maths.adelaide.edu.au/matthew.roughan/
Lecture_notes/InformationTheory/](http://www.maths.adelaide.edu.au/matthew.roughan/Lecture_notes/InformationTheory/)

School of Mathematical Sciences,
University of Adelaide

October 9, 2013

Part I

Entropy and Mutual Information

Information, defined intuitively and informally, might be something like 'uncertainty's antidote.'

Brian Christian,

The Most Human: What Talking with Computers Teaches Us About What It Means to Be Alive

Section 1

Entropy: properties

Simple Properties

- 1 Axiomatic properties hold: e.g.,
 - ▶ $H(X) \geq 0$
 - ▶ $H(\cdot)$ is a function of probabilities, not the values of X .
- 2 $0 \leq H(X) \leq \log |\Omega|$
 - ▶ zero iff X is deterministic
 - ▶ $\log |\Omega|$ iff X is uniform (we'll prove this in a minute)
- 3 For a Bernoulli RV with $p = 1/2$, we have $H(p) = 1$ bit
 - 1 i.e., this defines the units of information
- 4 $H(X|Y) \neq H(Y|X)$

Entropy Chain Rule

Theorem (Chain Rule)

$$H(X, Y) = H(X) + H(Y|X) = H(Y) + H(X|Y).$$

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} p(x, y) &= p(x)p(y|x) \\ \log p(x, y) &= \log p(x) + \log p(y|x) \\ E [\log p(x, y)] &= E [\log p(x)] + E [\log p(y|x)]. \end{aligned}$$

by linearity of expectations, and similarly for the second form. □

Entropy Chain Rule: Corollaries

Theorem (Chain Rule Corollary)

$$H(X, Y|Z) = H(X|Z) + H(Y|X, Z)$$

Don't confuse with

$$H(Y, X|Z) = H(X|Z) + H(Y|X, Z)$$

Theorem (Chain Rule Corollary)

$$H(X) - H(X|Y) = H(Y) - H(Y|X).$$

But remember that $H(X|Y) \neq H(Y|X)$ in general.

Entropy Chain Rule: General form

Theorem (Chain Rule)

Let X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n have joint PMF $p(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$, then

$$H(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n) = \sum_{i=1}^n H(X_i | X_{i-1}, \dots, X_1).$$

Proof.

Just use repeated applications of the two-variable chain rule, or prove directly in the same manner as the two-variable rule. □

Example:

$$H(X_1, X_2, X_3) = H(X_1) + H(X_2 | X_1) + H(X_3 | X_2, X_1).$$

Relative Entropy Chain Rule

Theorem (Chain Rule)

$$D(p(x, y) \| q(x, y)) = D(p(x) \| q(x)) - D(p(y|x) \| q(y|x))$$

Proof.

Similar to previous two-variable proof. □

Relative Entropy Properties

Theorem

$$D(p\|q) \geq 0$$

with equality only iff $p(x) = q(x)$ for all x .

Proof.

$$-D(p\|q) = E \left[-\log \frac{p(X)}{q(X)} \right] \leq -\log E \left[\frac{p(X)}{q(X)} \right],$$

by Jensen's inequality, as $-\log$ is strictly convex, and so equality arises only when p/q is a constant (in this case 1 when $p = q$ for all x). Next

$$-D(p\|q) \leq \log E \left[\frac{q(X)}{p(X)} \right] = \log \sum_x p(x) \frac{q(x)}{p(x)} = \log \sum_x q(x) = \log 1 = 0$$

□

Corollary

Theorem

$$H(X) \leq \log |\Omega|.$$

Proof.

Take distributions $p(x)$ and compare it to the uniform distribution $u(x) = 1/|\Omega|$:

$$\begin{aligned} D(p||u) &= \sum_x p(x) \log \frac{p(x)}{u(x)} \\ &= - \sum_x p(x) \log u(x) + \sum_x p(x) \log p(x) \\ &= - \log u \sum_x p(x) - H(X) \\ &= \log |\Omega| - H(X) \end{aligned}$$

And we already know that $D(p||u) \geq 0$. □

Convexity of relative entropy

Theorem

The relative entropy $D(p\|q)$ is a convex function of (p, q) , i.e., for two pairs of distributions $(p^{(1)}, q^{(1)})$ and $(p^{(2)}, q^{(2)})$.

$$\begin{aligned} D\left(\lambda p^{(1)} + (1 - \lambda)p^{(2)} \parallel \lambda q^{(1)} + (1 - \lambda)q^{(2)}\right) \\ \leq \lambda D(p^{(1)} \parallel q^{(1)}) + (1 - \lambda)D(p^{(2)} \parallel q^{(2)}) \end{aligned}$$

for all $0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$.

Proof.

The proof is just another application of Jensen's (or Gibbs') inequality, but is a bit messy, so I leave it to the reader. \square

Corollary: concavity of H

Theorem

The entropy $H(X) = H(p)$ is a concave function of p , i.e.,

$$H(\lambda p^{(1)} + (1 - \lambda)p^{(2)}) \geq \lambda H(p^{(1)}) + (1 - \lambda)H(p^{(2)}).$$

Proof.

As before

$$H(p) = \log |\Omega| - D(p||u),$$

so the result follows directly from the convexity of D . □

Intuitively this means that if we mixed two random variables, i.e., we take a Bernoulli trial with probability λ , and use it to select either X_1 or X_2 , the resulting uncertainty is larger than the weighted mixture of the two uncertainties (as you would expect, I hope)

Conditioning reduces entropy

As we might expect, conditioning on Y (i.e., saying we know Y) reduces the uncertainty about X , unless they are independent.

Theorem

$$H(X|Y) \leq H(X),$$

with equality only when X and Y are independent.

Conditioning reduces entropy

Proof.

Given $p(x, y)$ define $q(x, y) = p_X(x)p_Y(y)$, where $p_X(x)$ and $p_Y(y)$ are the marginal distributions of X and Y respectively. Now define

$$I(X; Y) = D(p(x, y) \| q(x, y)) = E \left[\log \frac{p(X|Y)}{p_X(X)} \right],$$

By definition of conditional probabilities

$$E \left[\log \frac{p(X, Y)}{p_X(X)p_Y(Y)} \right] = E \left[\log \frac{p(X|Y)}{p_X(X)} \right] = E [\log p(X|Y)] - E [\log p_X(X)],$$

So

$$I(X; Y) = -H(X|Y) + H(X),$$

but we also know that $I(X; Y)$ is defined in terms of relative entropy, and hence $I(X; Y) \geq 0$, and hence the result. □

Section 2

Mutual information

Motivation

- We created an “information” metric before, based on a single probability, but found that entropy was a more useful idea.
- Now lets return to trying to say something useful about information
- The mutual information is a measure of the information that we learn about one random variable from another.

Mutual Information

Define: mutual information

$$\begin{aligned} I(X; Y) &= \sum_x \sum_y p(x, y) \log \frac{p(x, y)}{p_X(x)p_Y(y)} \\ &= D(p(x, y) \| q(x, y)) \\ &= E \left[\log \frac{p(X|Y)}{p(X)} \right], \end{aligned}$$

Relationship between entropy and mutual information

We already showed that

$$I(X; Y) = H(X) - H(X|Y).$$

- So the mutual information is the reduction in uncertainty in X given knowledge of Y .
- By symmetry

$$I(X; Y) = H(Y) - H(Y|X).$$

- Also the “self-information”

$$I(X; X) = H(X) - H(X|X) = H(X).$$

which is the idea we started with, that information and uncertainty about a random variable are really the same.

Mutual Information Properties

- Mutual Information is non-negative, and is zero, iff X and Y are independent (see proof of previous theorem)
- Mutual Information has a conditional form (see [CT91, p.22] for details.)
- Mutual Information has a chain rule (see [CT91, p.22] for details.)

Assignment

There are lots of practice problems in [CT91, Chapter 1], which is available in electronic form in our Library. I recommend you have a go, but I won't mark these.

The assignment is to calculate the entropy of Morse code symbols, given standard frequencies of English letters.

Hints:

- Remember Morse code really has four symbols:
 - ▶ dot
 - ▶ dash
 - ▶ letter-break
 - ▶ word-break
- Model the frequencies of word-breaks as well as just letters.
 - ▶ you may need to make your own measurements of text – lots is available, e.g., at <http://www.gutenberg.org/>

Further reading I



Thomas M. Cover and Joy A. Thomas, *Elements of information theory*, John Wiley and Sons, 1991.