Information Theory and Networks Lecture 17: Gambling with Side Information

Paul Tune <paul.tune@adelaide.edu.au> http://www.maths.adelaide.edu.au/matthew.roughan/ Lecture_notes/InformationTheory/

> School of Mathematical Sciences, University of Adelaide

> > October 9, 2013

◆□ → ◆□ → ◆ = → ◆ = → ○ へ ⊙

Part I

Gambling with Side Information

A good hockey player plays where the puck is. A great hockey player plays where the puck is going to be. *Wayne Gretzky*

3

▲ □ ► ▲ □ ► ▲

Section 1

More about Horse Racing

-

• • • • • • • • • • • •

э

Horse Racing Redux

• Suppose you know: horse 3 is an older horse, fatigues easily

- how has your edge changed?
- what strategy should you employ?

Horse	Odds
1	10
2	2
3	20
4	5

Background

- Kelly's original paper talks about "private wire"
- AT&T's main customers were horse racing rackets
 - transmit race results from East to West Coast
 - some races allow bets up until the results
 - lag between East and West Coast in taking bets
- Mostly mob controlled
- Title change to paper to remove "unsavoury" elements

Reinterpretation of Doubling Rate

- Write $r_i = 1/o_i$, **r** is the bookie's estimate of horse win probabilities
 - technically, this has been determined by the bettors themselves
- Recall doubling rate: $W(\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{p}) = \sum_i p_i \log b_i o_i$
- Similarly, $W(\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{p}) = D(\mathbf{p} || \mathbf{r}) D(\mathbf{p} || \mathbf{b})$
 - comparison between estimates of the true winning distribution between the bookie and gambler
 - when does the gambler do better?
- Special case uniform odds: $W^*(\mathbf{p}) = D(\mathbf{p} \mid\mid \frac{1}{m}\mathbf{1}) = \log m H(\mathbf{p})$

Section 2

Side Information

3

・ロト ・回ト ・ヨト ・ヨト

Incorporating Side Information

- Based on reinterpretation, want to minimise KL divergence
 - any form of side information can provide better estimates
- Let $X \in \{1, 2, \cdots, m\}$ denote the horse that wins the race
- Consider (X, Y), where Y is the side information
 - p(x, y) = p(y)p(x|y) is the joint distribution
 - betting $b(x|y) \ge 0$, $\sum_{x} b(x|y) = 1$
 - given Y = y, now want to estimate p(x | y)
 - clearly, the better the estimate, the better wealth growth rate

Effect on Doubling Rate

• Unconditional doubling rate

$$W^*(X) := \max_{\mathbf{b}(x)} \sum_{x} p(x) \log b(x) o(x)$$

Conditional doubling rate

$$W^*(X|Y) := \max_{\mathbf{b}(x|y)} \sum_{x,y} p(x,y) \log b(x|y) o(x)$$

- Want to find the bound on the increase $\Delta W = W^*(X|Y) W^*(X)$
- Turns out: $\Delta W = I(X; Y)$
 - by Kelly, $b^*(x|y) = p(x|y)$
 - calculate $W^*(X|Y = y)$, then compute $W^*(X|Y)$, then take difference
- In turn, this is upper bounded by the channel capacity

Dependent Horse Races

• Side information can come from past performance of the horses

- if horse is performing well consistently, then more likely for it to win
- For each race *i*, bet conditionally (fair odds)

•
$$b^*(x_i|x_{i-1},\cdots,x_1) = p(x_i|x_{i-1},\cdots,x_1)$$

• Let's assume fair odds (*m*-for-1), then after *n* races,

$$\frac{1}{n}E[\log S_n] = \log m - \frac{H(X_1, X_2, \cdots, X_n)}{n}$$

• Link this with entropy rate by taking $n \to \infty$

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{1}{n}E[\log S_n]+H(\mathcal{X})=\log m$$

• Expectation can be removed if S_n is ergodic (property holds w.p. 1)

Betting Sequentially vs. Once-off

- Consider a card game: red and black
 - ▶ a deck of 52 cards, 26 red, 26 black
 - gambler places bets on whether the next card is red or black
 - payout: 2-for-1 (fair for equally probably red/black cards)
- Play this sequentially
 - what are the proportions we should bet? (hint: use past information)
- Play this once-off for all $\binom{52}{26}$ sequences
 - proportional betting allocates $1/\binom{52}{26}$ wealth on each sequence
- Both schemes are equivalent: why?

$$S_{52}^* = \frac{2^{52}}{\binom{52}{26}} = 9.08$$

 Return does not depend on actual sequence: sequences are typical (c.f. AEP)

▲□ ▲ □ ▲ □ ▲ □ ● ● ● ●

Part II

Data Compression and Gambling

47 ▶

Gambling-Based Compression

- Consider X_1, X_2, \cdots, X_n a sequence of binary random variables to compress
- Gambling allocations are $b(x_{k+1}|x_1,x_2,\cdots,x_k)\geq 0$ with

$$\sum_{x_{k+1}} b(x_{k+1}|x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_k) = 1$$

- Odds: uniform 2-for-1
- Wealth:

$$S_n = 2^n \prod_{k=1}^n b(x_{k+1}|x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_k) = 2^n b(x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_n)$$

• Idea: use $b(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$ as a proxy for $p(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$, if S_n is maximised, then have log-optimal and best compression

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト 二日

Algorithm: Encoding

- Assumption: both encoder and decoder knows n
- Encoding:
 - arrange 2ⁿ sequences lexicographically
 - ▶ sees x(n), calculate wealth $S_n(x'(n))$ for all $x'(n) \le x(n)$
 - compute $F(x(n)) = \sum_{x'(n) \le x(n)} 2^{-n} S_n(x'(n))$, where $F(x(n)) \in [0, 1]$
 - express F(x(n)) in binary decimal to $k = \lceil n \log S_n(x(n)) \rceil$ accuracy
 - codeword of F(x(n)): $.c_1c_2\cdots c_k$
 - the sequence $c(k) = (c_1, c_2, \cdots, c_k)$ is transmitted to the decoder

Algorithm: Decoding

• Decoding:

- ► computes all S_n(x'(n)) for all 2ⁿ sequences exactly; knows F(x'(n)) for any x'(n)
- calculate F(x'(n)) in lexicographical ordering until first time output exceeds .c(k): determines index
- ► size of 2⁻ⁿS(x(n)) ensures uniqueness: no other x'(n) will have this wealth value
- Bits required: k, bits saved: $n k = \lfloor \log(S_n(x(n))) \rfloor$
- With proportional gambling, $S_n(x(n)) = 2^n \rho(x(n))$, so $E[k] \le H(X_1, X_2, \cdots, X_n) + 1$

- 4 個 ト 4 国 ト - 4 国 ト - 三日

Estimating Entropy of English

- Use the algorithm to estimate the entropy per letter of English
- Odds: 27-for-1 (including space, but no punctuations)
- Wealth: $S_n = (27)^n b(x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_n)$
- After *n* rounds of betting

$$E\left[\frac{1}{n}\log S_n\right] \leq \log 27 - H(\mathcal{X})$$

- Assuming English is ergodic, $\hat{H}(\mathcal{X}) = \log 27 \frac{1}{n} \log S_n$ converges to $H(\mathcal{X})$ w.p. 1
- Example for "Jefferson the Virginian" gives 1.34 bits per letter

Further reading I



Thomas M. Cover and Joy A. Thomas, *Elements of information theory*, John Wiley and Sons, 1991.

-

• • • • • • • • • • • •