Variational Methods and Optimal Control Class Exercise 1 solutions

Matthew Roughan <matthew.roughan@adelaide.edu.au>

1. O marks Use the technique of Lagrange multipliers to maximize V = xyz for $x, y, z \ge 0$ subject to the pair of constraints

$$xy + yz + zx = 1$$
$$x + y + z = 3$$

Solution: Introduce slack variables α , β , γ to express the inequalities $x, y, z \ge 0$ as $x - \alpha^2 = 0$, $y - \beta^2 = 0$, $z - \gamma^2 = 0$. We employ Lagrange multipliers to form the new objective function

 $\mathcal{L} = xyz + \lambda_1(xy + yz + zx - 1) + \lambda_2(x + y + z - 3) + \lambda_3(x - \alpha^2) + \lambda_4(y - \beta^2) + \lambda_5(z - \gamma^2).$

First we deal with the last three terms. The equation $\partial \mathcal{L}/\partial \alpha = 0$ yields $\lambda_3(-2\alpha) = 0$, so either $\lambda_3 = 0$ or $\alpha = 0$. Similarly either $\lambda_4 = 0$ or $\beta = 0$, and either $\lambda_5 = 0$ or $\gamma = 0$. If any of λ_3 , λ_4 , λ_5 is nonzero, then at least one of α, β, γ is zero and therefore at least one of x, y, z is zero. Hence V = 0. Since $x, y, z \ge 0$, this corresponds to a global minimum. So we must take $\lambda_3 = \lambda_4 = \lambda_5 = 0$ for a maximum.

Note that if x = y = z = v, say, then the second constraint implies v = 1 and the first constraint $v^2 = 1/3$, a contradiction. Hence we can't have x = y = z.

The equation $\partial \mathcal{L} / \partial x = 0$ provides

 $yz + \lambda_1(y+z) + \lambda_2 = 0.$ $zx + \lambda_1(z+x) + \lambda_2 = 0.$

By symmetry we have also

Subtraction yields

 $z(y-x) + \lambda_1(y-x) = 0$ or $(z+\lambda_1)(y-x) = 0.$

Hence either

x = y or $z = -\lambda_1$.

By symmetry

and

y = z or $x = -\lambda_1$

z = x or $y = -\lambda_1$.

We can't have any two of x = y, y = z, z = x, for then x = y = z. Similarly we can't have all of $z = -\lambda_1$, $x = -\lambda_1$, $y = -\lambda_1$. Hence we must have

 $x = y, x = -\lambda_1, y = -\lambda_1$, that is $x = y = -\lambda_1$,

 $y = z = -\lambda_1$,

 $z = x = -\lambda_1$.

or

or

With the first possibility, the second constraint gives z = 3 - 2x and the first constraint $x^2 + 2xz = 1$, so

$$x^{2} + 2x(3 - 2x) = 1$$
 or $3x^{2} - 6x + 1 = 0$.

Hence

$$x = 1 \pm \frac{\sqrt{6}}{3}$$
 and therefore $y = 1 \pm \frac{\sqrt{6}}{3}$ and $z = 1 \pm \frac{2\sqrt{6}}{3}$

Now $1 - 2\sqrt{6}/3 < 0$, so to get V > 0 we must choose

 $x = y = 1 - \frac{\sqrt{6}}{3}, \quad z = 1 + \frac{2\sqrt{6}}{3}$

which leads to

$$V = xyz = -1 + \frac{4\sqrt{6}}{9}$$

The same value arises from the cyclic permutations

$$y = z = 1 - \frac{\sqrt{6}}{3}, \quad x = 1 + \frac{2\sqrt{6}}{3}$$

 $z = x = 1 - \frac{\sqrt{6}}{3}, \quad y = 1 + \frac{2\sqrt{6}}{3}.$

and

2. 0 marks Maximize $V = x^2 + 2y^2 - z^2$ subject to

 $x^2 + y^2 + z^2 \le 1$

Solution: Use a slack variable u to express the constraint as an equality

$$x^2 + y^2 + z^2 + u^2 = 1$$

This leads to a new objective function

$$\mathcal{L} = V + \lambda \left(x^2 + y^2 + z^2 + u^2 - 1 \right).$$

The condition $\partial L/\partial x = 0$ gives

$$2x + \lambda \cdot 2x = 0$$
, so $2x(1 + \lambda) = 0$ and $x = 0$ or $\lambda = -1$

Partial differential with respect to y, z, u in turn give similarly

$$y = 0$$
 or $\lambda = -2;$
 $z = 0$ or $\lambda = 1;$
 $u = 0$ or $\lambda = 0.$

By the constraint, we can't have all of x, y, z, u equal to zero. Hence we must have either

 $\lambda = -1, y = z = u = 0, \text{ or}$ $\lambda = -2, x = z = u = 0, \text{ or}$ $\lambda = 1, x = y = u = 0, \text{ or}$ $\lambda = 0, x = y = z = 0.$

In the first case, the constraint gives $x^2 = 1$ and V = 1. Similarly the other three cases in turn yield $y^2 = 1$ and V = 2; $z^2 = 1$ and V = -1; $u^2 = 1$ and V = 0. Thus the maximum is V = 2, and this arises when x = z = 0 and $y = \pm 1$.

1

2

Class Exercise 1 solutions

Variational Methods and Optimal Control

- **3.** 5 marks Which of the following are functionals of the function y(x) (label yes or no). Solution: (a) y(0) + 4yes $\left. \frac{dy}{dx} \right|_0$ (b) yes (assuming the derivative exists) (c) $\min\{y(x)|0 \le x \le 1\}$ yes (assuming the minimum exists) (d) $\int_{0}^{1} y \, dx$ yes (e) $\int_{0}^{\pi} \left[\frac{d^{n}y}{dx^{n}} \right]^{3} f(x) \, dx$ yes (assuming the derivatives exist)
- 4. 1 mark Given the L^2 -norm $||f||_2 = \sqrt{\int_0^1 f(x)^2 dx}$ on the vector space $L^2[0,1]$, describe (in one sentence) the ε -neigbourhood of the function y = x.

Solution:

The ε -neighbourhood of the function y = x is the set of functions within distance ε of y = x, where distance is defined using the L^2 norm of the difference between two functions.

5. 4 marks Find an upper bound for the minimum of the functional

$$J\{y\} = \int_{0}^{1} y^{2} y'^{2} \, dx,$$

subject to y(0) = 0 and y(1) = 1 using the trial functions

$$y_{\varepsilon}(x) = x^{\varepsilon},$$

with $\varepsilon > 1/4$. Justify your argument.

Solution:

$$y_{\varepsilon} = x^{\varepsilon}$$

 $y'_{\varepsilon} = \varepsilon x^{\varepsilon-1}$

and so

$$J\{y_{\varepsilon}\} = \int_{0}^{1} y^{2} y'^{2} dx$$
$$= \varepsilon^{2} \int_{0}^{1} x^{4\varepsilon-2} dx$$
$$= \varepsilon^{2} \left[\frac{x^{4\varepsilon-1}}{4\varepsilon - 1} \right]_{0}^{1}$$
$$= \frac{\varepsilon^{2}}{4\varepsilon - 1}$$
$$\frac{dJ\{y_{\varepsilon}\}}{d\varepsilon} = \frac{2\varepsilon(4\varepsilon - 1) - 4\varepsilon^{2}}{(4\varepsilon - 1)^{2}}$$

At a stationary point the derivative is zero and so we require the denumerator of $dJ/d\varepsilon$ to be zero, i.e.,

$$2\varepsilon^2 - \varepsilon = 2\varepsilon(2\varepsilon - 1) = 0$$

so $\varepsilon = 0$ or 1/2, but only the latter solution is greater than 1/4, and so this is a stationary point.

Class Exercise 1 solutions

Variational Methods and Optimal Control

4

We can see it is a minimum by taking the second derivative with respect to ε to get

$$\frac{d^2 J\{y_\varepsilon\}}{d\varepsilon^2} = \frac{d}{d\varepsilon} \frac{4\varepsilon^2 - 2\varepsilon}{(4\varepsilon - 1)^2} \\ = \frac{2}{(4\varepsilon - 1)^3}$$

which is positive for $\varepsilon > 1/4$.

Calculating $J\{y_{\varepsilon}\} = \frac{e^2}{4\varepsilon - 1}$ at the minimum we get $J\{y^*\} = 1/4$, which is an upper bound on the true minimum of the functional, because the functional applies over a wider class of possible functions y, and we know that there may be a better one.